# Thermal-aware Dynamic Checkpoint Interval Tuning for High Performance Computing

Pei Li, Mulya Agung (Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University) Muhammad Alfian Amrizal, Ryusuke Egawa, Hiroyuki Takizawa (Cyberscience Center, Tohoku University)



High Performance Computing (HPC) & Checkpoint/Restart

## HPC systems are getting faster and larger

Users want to submit more complex and long-running jobs. The application execution will face a higher probability of encountering failures because of longer execution time.

## Fault-tolerance mechanisms are required

**Optimal Checkpoint Interval** 

CPR needs additional time overhead for storing a large amount of data to a stable storage.

More frequent checkpoints: will delay application's progress.



Checkpoint/Restart (CPR) is one of the most commonly used faulttolerance mechanisms.



# Less frequent checkpoints: will not be able to protect the execution from failures effectively.

Optimal checkpoint interval must be carefully selected to minimize the total execution time.

## **Problem Statement**

## Monitoring Results

- Many related researches assume that failures are exponentially distributed with a constant failure rate,  $\lambda$ .
- Previous work has demonstrated that the reliability of systems can be affected by many factors [1].
  - One of the determinant factors is the *operating temperatures* of the system.
    λ dynamically changes with the change of operating temperature.

# Therefore, deriving an *optimal checkpoint interval* by assuming an exponential distribution of failure with a constant $\lambda$ , might not



Temperature monitoring results of the CG application (left)

Temperature changes during the execution. Higher temperatures are shown at the beginning of the execution.



### lead to a minimal execution time.

[1] Tang, Kun, et al. "Power-capping aware checkpointing: On the interplay among power-capping, temperature, reliability, performance, and energy." Dependable Systems and Networks (DSN), 2016 46th Annual IEEE/IFIP International Conference on. IEEE, 2016.

## **Proposed Method**

Time (s)

CDF (cumulative distribution function) of the failures for the CG application (right)

The exponential distribution does not fit well observations.



## Thermal-aware Dynamic Checkpoint Interval Tuning

#### **MTBF Estimation**

calculate the MTBF for the monitored temperatures.

#### **Checkpoint Interval Tuning**

tune the next checkpoint

### Monitoring

monitor the temperatures of all compute nodes.

### **MTBF** Prediction

predict the MTBF in the near future by using Simple Moving Average.

Experimental Setup	
05	Linux 4.4 (Ubuntu)
Processor	Intel Core i7-6700 @ 3.40GHz
Number of cores	4

Evaluation

Checkpoint/Restart Setup	
checkpoint overhead	1 s
restart overhead	2 s



#### waste computation time checkpoint waste time restart waste time



## **Conclusions & Future Work**

- The evaluation results show that the proposed method can achieve a comparable performance to the Constant Optimal method (brute-force search) which is near-ideal.
- In the future, this work will focus on improving the method for larger systems and distributed applications.

## Dynamic (dyn): The proposed method.

Constant Optimal (C-opt): The optimal checkpoint interval is obtained by using a bruteforce search.

Constant Average (C-avg): The checkpoint interval is determined by the average failure rate during the execution.

Constant Optimistic (C-opms): The checkpoint interval is determined by the minimum failure rate.

Constant Pessimistic (C-pes): The checkpoint interval is determined by the maximum failure rate.

HPC Asia 2018

(E-mail) {lipei, agung, alfian}@sc.cc.tohoku.ac.jp, {egawa, takizawa}@tohoku.ac.jp